United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

BRA	AND	IN LEE LETTS	Case Number: 1:08-CR-252
requir	In ac	ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C.§3142(e detention of the defendant pending trial in this case	f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts
		Part I - Fi	indings of Fact
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense descrioffense) (state or local offense that would have bee existed) that is	ibed in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal n a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C.§3	156(a)(4).
		an offense for which the maximum sentence	is life imprisonment or death.
		an offense for which the maximum term of i	mprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after the defenda U.S.C.§3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	nt had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
	(2)		while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
	(3)	offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed sinc the offense described in finding (1).	e the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable passure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the	resumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this
		presumption. Alternate	Findings (A)
Ш	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defend	
			of ten years or more is prescribed in
П "	(0)	under 18 U.S.C.§924(c).	
Ш	(2)	I he defendant has not rebutted the presumption es reasonably assure the appearance of the defendance.	stablished by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will nt as required and the safety of the community.
			Findings (B)
X	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a	
Ш	(2)		inger the safety of another person or the community.
		Defendant has been a marijuana user for the past	e claims self-employment as a construction worker for family and friends. dozen years and experimented with ecstacy six months ago. MDOC gster Disciples from 1994 to 2000, although defendant reports he nile serving a previous sentence.
		Defendant has been unable to function when place	ed on court-ordered supervision. (continued on attachment)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nt of Reasons for Detention
that t	the c	redible testimony and information submitted at	the hearing establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that
o cond	dition	or combination of conditions will assure the pr	resence of the defendant in light of his history of misbehavior while
n vario	ous c	court-ordered supervision, which resulted in abs	sconder notices and bench warrants being issued. Moreover, to
			on bond and live with his mother would simply place him back in
ne env	ironr	ment where he was in 2006 when he repeatedly	,
			ns Regarding Detention
acility s efenda r on red	epar nt sha quest	endant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Cate, to the extent practicable, from persons await all be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private of an attorney for the Government, the person in chal for the purpose of an appearance in connection	General or his designated representative for confinement in a correctional ing or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United with a court proceeding.
Dated:	. No	ovember 10, 2008	/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.
Daleu.	110		Signature of Judicial Officer
			Hugh W. Brenneman, United States Magistrate Judge
			Name and Title of Indicial Officer

Case 1:08-cr-00252-R.I.J. ECF No. 13 filed 11/14/08 PageID.18 Page 2 of 2

United States v. **BRANDIN LEE LETTS** 1:08-CR-252

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Page 2.

Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)

Following a conviction in 2002 for attempted home invasion, and serving a short jail term, defendant was placed on probation. While on probation he committed another home invasion offense and aggravated assault. This second conviction resulted in a prison term. Defendant was paroled in January 2006 and while attending a residential treatment program, left the facility without permission. He failed to report to his probation office and a warrant for his arrest was issued. He was arrested a couple of months later and eventually was referred to a residential treatment facility, which he again promptly left without permission, again failed to report to his probation officer, and changed residences. These violations resulted in various absconder status reports. Following the second home invasion, defendant was also placed on bond and a bench warrant was subsequently issued for his arrest.

During the time defendant was on parole, which was most of 2006, he lived with his mother and repeatedly tested positive for marijuana and cocaine usage (in February, March, April, October and December). He also had blood alcohol levels during this period ranging from .107 to .23, in violation of his parole.

There is also a warrant outstanding against the defendant in Sarasota County, FL, following a revocation of defendant's pretrial release in August of this year in that state.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention - (continued)

parole by the constant use of drugs. Defendant's record also makes it evident he would be extremely difficult to manage while on court-ordered release.